arXiv:1601.01723v1 [math.AP] 7 Jan 2016

The existence and space-time decay rates of strong solutions to Navier-Stokes Equations in weighed $L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx}) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ spaces

D. Q. Khai, N. M. Tri

Institute of Mathematics, VAST
18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract: In this paper, we prove some results on the existence and space-time decay rates of global strong solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in weighed $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, |x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, |x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ spaces.

§1. Introduction

This paper studies the Cauchy problem of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $d \geq 2$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla.(u \otimes u) - \nabla p, \\ \nabla.u = 0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

which is a condensed writing for

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq k \leq d, \quad \partial_t u_k = \Delta u_k - \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l (u_l u_k) - \partial_k p, \\ \sum_{l=1}^d \partial_l u_l = 0, \\ 1 \leq k \leq d, \quad u_k(0,x) = u_{0k}. \end{cases}$$

The unknown quantities are the velocity $u(t,x) = (u_1(t,x), \ldots, u_d(t,x))$ of the fluid element at time t and position x and the pressure $p(t,x)$.
There is an extensive literature on the existence and decay rate of strong solutions of the Cauchy problem for NSE. Maria E. Schonbek [1] established the decay of the homogeneous H^m norms for solutions to NSE in two dimensions. She showed that if u is a solution to NSE with an arbitrary $u_0 \in H^m \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $m \geq 3$ then

$$\|D^\alpha u\|_2^2 \leq C_\alpha (t+1)^{-(|\alpha|+1)} \text{ and } \|D^\alpha u\|_\infty \leq C_\alpha (t+1)^{-(|\alpha|+\frac{1}{2})} \text{ for all } t \geq 1, \alpha \leq m.$$

[2] *Keywords*: Navier-Stokes equations; space-time decay rate
[3] *e-mail address*: Khaitoantin@gmail.com, Triminh@math.ac.vn

Zhi-Min Chen [2] showed that if $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), (d \le p < \infty)$ and $\|u_0\|_1 + \|u_0\|_p$ is small enough then there is a unique solution $u \in BC([0,\infty); L^1 \cap L^p)$, which satisfies decay property

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \big(\|u\|_\infty + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Du\|_\infty + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|D^2 u\|_\infty \big) < \infty.$$

Kato [3] studied strong solutions in the spaces $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by applying the $L^q - L^p$ estimates for the semigroup generated by the Stokes operator. He showed that there is $T > 0$ and a unique solution u, which satisfies

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{d}{q})} u \in BC([0,T); L^q), \text{ for } d \le q \le \infty,$$
$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(2-\frac{d}{q})} \nabla u \in BC([0,T); L^q), \text{ for } d \le q \le \infty,$$

as $u_0 \in L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$. He showed that $T = \infty$ if $\big\|u_0\big\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small enough.
In 2002, Cheng He and Ling Hsiao [4] extended the results of Kato, they estimated on decay rates of higher order derivatives in time variable and space variables for the strong solution to NSE with initial data in $L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)$. They showed that if $\big\|u_0\big\|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is small enough then there is a unique solution u, which satisfies

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(1+|\alpha|+2\alpha_0-\frac{d}{q})} D_x^\alpha D_t^{\alpha_0} u \in BC([0,\infty); L^q), \text{ for } q \ge d,$$
$$t^{\frac{1}{2}(2+|\alpha|-\frac{d}{q})} D_x^\alpha p \in BC([0,\infty); L^q), \text{ for } q \ge d,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d), |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + ... + \alpha_d$ and $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. D_x^α denotes $\partial_x^{|\alpha|} = \partial^{|\alpha|} / (\partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\alpha_2} ... \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d})$, $\partial_t^{\alpha_0} = \partial^{\alpha_0} / \partial t^{\alpha_0}$.
In 2005, Okihiro Sawada [5] obtained the decay rate of solution to NSE with initial data in $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. He showed that every mild solution in the class

$$u \in BC([0,T); \dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}) \text{ and } t^{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{d}{2}-\frac{d}{p})} u \in BC([0,T); \dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{2}-1}),$$

for some $T > 0$ and $p \in (2, \infty]$ satisfies

$$\big\|u(t)\big\|_{\dot{H}_q^{\frac{d}{2}-1+\alpha}} \le K_1 (K_2 \tilde{\alpha})^{\tilde{\alpha}} t^{-\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2}} \text{ for } \alpha > 0, q \ge 2, \text{ and } \tilde{\alpha} := \alpha + \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{q}$$

where constants K_1 and K_2 depend only on d, p, M_1, and M_2 with $M_1 = \sup_{0<t<T} \big\|u(t)\big\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}$ and $M_2 = \sup_{0<t<T} t^{\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \big\|u(t)\big\|_{\dot{H}_p^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}$.
The time-decay properties are therefore well understood. However, there are few results on the spatial decay properties. Farwing and Sohr [7] showed a class of weighted $|x|^\alpha$ weak solutions with second derivatives in space

variables and one order derivatives in time variable in $L^s([0,+\infty);L^q)$ for $1<q<3/2, 1<s<2$ and $0\le 3/q+2/s-4\le\alpha<\min\{1/2,3-3/q\}$ in the case of exterior domains. In [10], they also showed that there exists a class of weak solutions satisfying

$$\left\||x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}u\right\|_2^2+\int_0^t\left\||x|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\nabla u\right\|_2^2\mathrm{d}t\le\begin{cases}C(u_0,f,\alpha) & \text{if } 0\le\alpha<\frac{1}{2},\\ C(u_0,f,\alpha',\alpha)t^{\frac{\alpha'}{2}-1/4} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2}\le\alpha<\alpha'<1,\\ C(u_0,f)(t^{1/4}+t^{1/2}) & \text{if } \alpha=1.\end{cases}$$

While in [11], a class of weak solutions

$$(1+|x|^2)^{1/4}u\in L^\infty([0,+\infty);L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

was constructed for $6/5\le p<3/2$, which satisfies

$$\left\||x|^{\frac{1}{2}}u\right\|_2^2+\int_0^t\left\||x|^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla u\right\|_2^2\mathrm{d}t\le C(u_0,f)(t^{1/4}+t^{1/2}).$$

In 2002 Takahashi [9] studied the existence and space-time decay rates of global strong solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in the weighted $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,(1+|x|)^\beta\mathrm{dx})$ spaces. Takahashi showed that if u_0 satisfies

$$|(e^{t\Delta}u_0)(x)|<\delta(1+|x|)^{-\beta}, |(e^{t\Delta}u_0)(x)|<\delta(1+t)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}, \tag{1}$$

with sufficiently small δ, then NSE has a global mild solution u such that

$$|u(x,t)|\le C(1+|x|)^{-\beta}, |u(x,t)|\le C(1+t)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}},$$

where β is restricted by the condition $1\le\beta\le d+1$.
Takahashi also showed that if

$$|u_0(x)|\le c(1+|x|)^{-\beta}\qquad\text{for some } 0<\beta\le d,$$

then

$$|(e^{t\Delta}u_0)(x)|\le c(1+|x|)^{-\beta},\quad |(e^{t\Delta}u_0)(x)|\le c(1+t)^{\frac{-\beta}{2}}.$$

In this paper, we discuss the existence and space-time decay rates of global strong solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in the weighted $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,|x|^\gamma\mathrm{dx})\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})$ spaces. The spaces $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,|x|^\gamma\mathrm{dx})\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})$ are more general than the spaces $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,(1+|x|)^\beta\mathrm{dx})$. In particular, $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,|x|^\gamma\mathrm{dx})\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})=L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d,(1+|x|)^\beta\mathrm{dx})$ when $\gamma=0$, and so this result improves the previous one.
The content of this paper is as follows: in Section 2, we state our main

theorems after introducing some notations. In Section 3, we first prove the some estimates concerning the heat semigroup with the Helmholtz-Leray projection and some auxiliary lemmas. Finally, in Section 4, we will give the proof of the main theorems.

§2. Statement of the results

Now, for $T > 0$, we say that u is a mild solution of NSE on $[0, T]$ corresponding to a divergence-free initial datum u_0 when u solves the integral equation

$$u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u(\tau,.)\otimes u(\tau,.)\big)\mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Above we have used the following notation: for a tensor $F = (F_{ij})$ we define the vector $\nabla.F$ by $(\nabla.F)_i = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j F_{ij}$ and for two vectors u and v, we define their tensor product $(u\otimes v)_{ij} = u_i v_j$. The operator $\mathbb{P}$ is the Helmholtz-Leray projection onto the divergence-free fields

$$(\mathbb{P}f)_j = f_j + \sum_{1\le k\le d} R_j R_k f_k,$$

where R_j is the Riesz transforms defined as

$$R_j = \frac{\partial_j}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \widehat{R_j g}(\xi) = \frac{i\xi_j}{|\xi|}\hat{g}(\xi).$$

The heat kernel $e^{t\Delta}$ is defined as

$$e^{t\Delta}u(x) = ((4\pi t)^{-d/2}e^{-|.|^2/4t} * u)(x).$$

For a space of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, say $E(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we will abbreviate it as E and we do not distinguish between the vector-valued and scalar-value spaces of functions. Throughout the paper, we sometimes use the notation $A \lesssim B$ as an equivalent to $A \le CB$ with a uniform constant C. The notation $A \simeq B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Let $\beta \ge 0$, we define the space $L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx}) := L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, |x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ which is made up by the measurable functions u such that

$$\big\|u\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} := \operatorname*{esssup}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\beta |u(x)| < +\infty.$$

Now we can state our result

Theorem 1. *Assume that $d \geq 1$, and $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \leq \beta < d$. Then for all $f \in L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx}) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ we have*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x|^{\tilde{\gamma}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\tilde{\gamma})} + |x|^{\alpha} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)} + |x|^{\tilde{\beta}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}\big)|e^{t\Delta} f| \lesssim \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx})} + \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})}$$

for $0 \leq \tilde{\gamma} \leq \gamma, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, and $0 \leq \tilde{\beta} \leq \beta$.

Theorem 2. *Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \leq \beta < d$ be fixed, then for all $\tilde{\gamma}, \alpha$, and $\tilde{\beta}$ satisfying*

$$0 \leq \tilde{\gamma} \leq \gamma, \tilde{\beta} \geq 0, \beta - 2 < \tilde{\beta} \leq \beta, 0 < \alpha < 1, \text{ and } \beta - \tilde{\beta} - 1 < \alpha < d - \tilde{\beta},$$

there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\gamma,\tilde{\gamma},\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d}$ such that for all $u_0 \in L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{d}x) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x)$ with $\mathrm{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x|^{\tilde{\gamma}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\tilde{\gamma})} + |x|^{\alpha} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)} + |x|^{\tilde{\beta}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}\big)|e^{t\Delta} u_0| \leq \delta_{\gamma,\tilde{\gamma},\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d}, \tag{2}$$

NSE has a global mild solution u on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x|^{\gamma} + t^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} + |x|^{\beta} + t^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\big)|u(x,t)| < +\infty. \tag{3}$$

Remark 1. Our result improves the previous result for $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, (1+|x|)^\beta \mathrm{dx})$. This space, studied in [9], is a particular case of the space $L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{d}x) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x)$ when $\gamma = 0$. Furthermore, we prove that Takahashi's result holds true under a much weaker condition on the initial data. Indeed, from Lemma 4 and Theorem 1, it is easily seen that the condition (2) of Theorem 2 is weaker than the condition (1).

Remark 2. We invoke Theorem 1 to deduce that if $u_0 \in L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx}) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ and $\big\|u_0\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx})} + \big\|u_0\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})}$ is small enough then the condition (2) of Theorem 2 is valid.

Theorem 3. *Let $1 \leq \beta < d$ be fixed, then for all α satisfying $0 < \alpha < 1$, there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\alpha,d}$ such that for all $u_0 \in L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{d}x) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x)$ with $\mathrm{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} |x|^{\alpha} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)} |e^{t\Delta} u_0| \leq \delta_{\alpha,d}, \tag{4}$$

NSE has a global mild solution u on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x| + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\big)|u(x,t)| < +\infty$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d,0<t<T}|x|^\beta|u(x,t)|<+\infty,\ \textit{for all } T\in(0,\infty).$$

Remark 3. We invoke Theorem 1 to deduce that if $u_0\in L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{dx})$ and $\big\|u_0\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{dx})}$ is small enough then the condition (4) of Theorem 3 is valid.

§3. Some auxiliary results

In this section we establish some auxiliary lemmas. We first prove a version of Young's inequality type for convolutions in $L^\infty(|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})$ spaces.

Lemma 1. *Assume that $d\geq 1, 0<\alpha<d, 0<\beta<d$ and $\alpha+\beta>d$. Then for all $f\in L^\infty(|x|^\alpha\mathrm{dx})$ and for all $g\in L^\infty(|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})$ we have*

$$\big\|f*g\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^{\alpha+\beta-d}\mathrm{dx})}\lesssim\big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\alpha\mathrm{dx})}\big\|g\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})}.$$

Proof. Since $f*g$ is bilinear on $L^\infty(|x|^\alpha\mathrm{dx})\times L^\infty(|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})$, we may assume $\big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\alpha\mathrm{dx})}=\big\|g\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})}=1$. We have

$$(f*g)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f(x-y)g(y)\mathrm{d}y=\int_{|y|<\frac{|x|}{2}}+\int_{\frac{|x|}{2}<|y|<\frac{3|x|}{2}}+\int_{|y|>\frac{3|x|}{2}}=I_1+I_2+I_3.$$

From

$$|f(x)|\leq|x|^{-\alpha},\ \text{and}\ |g(x)|\leq|x|^{-\beta},$$

we get

$$|I_1|\leq\int_{|y|<\frac{|x|}{2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|x-y|^\alpha|y|^\beta}\leq\frac{2^\alpha}{|x|^\alpha}\int_{|y|<\frac{|x|}{2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^\beta}\simeq\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+\beta-d}}.$$

$$|I_2|\leq\int_{\frac{|x|}{2}<|y|<\frac{3|x|}{2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|x-y|^\alpha|y|^\beta}\leq\frac{2^\beta}{|x|^\beta}\int_{|y|<\frac{5|x|}{2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^\alpha}\simeq\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+\beta-d}}.$$

$$|I_3|\leq\int_{|y|>\frac{3|x|}{2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|x-y|^\alpha|y|^\beta}\leq 3^\alpha\int_{|y|>\frac{3|x|}{2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^\alpha|y|^\beta}\simeq\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+\beta-d}}.$$

We thus obtain

$$|(f*g)(x)|\lesssim\frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha+\beta-d}}.$$

The proof Lemma 1 is complete. □

We now deduce the $L^\infty(|x|^\gamma\mathrm{dx})-L^\infty(|x|^\beta\mathrm{dx})$ estimate for the heat semigroup.

Lemma 2. *Assume that* $d \geq 1$ *and* $0 \leq \gamma \leq \beta < d$. *Then for all* $f \in L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ *we have*

$$\big\|e^{t\Delta} f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma)} \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})}, \ \textit{for } t > 0. \tag{5}$$

Proof. We have

$$(e^{t\Delta} f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} E\big(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}\big) f(y) \mathrm{d}y, \text{ where } E(x) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4}}.$$

Recall the simate

$$t^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \lesssim |x|^{-\alpha} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(d-\alpha)}, \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq d. \tag{6}$$

We first consider the case $0 < \gamma < \beta$. From the inequality (6) and Lemma 1, we have

$$|(e^{t\Delta} f)(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma)} |x-y|^{\gamma+d-\beta} |y|^\beta} \mathrm{d}y \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\gamma)} |x|^{-\gamma} \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})}.$$

This proves (5).
We consider the case $0 = \gamma < \beta$. Applying Proposition 2.4 (b) in ([6], pp. 20) and note that $|x|^{-\beta} \in L^{\frac{d}{\beta},\infty}$

$$|e^{t\Delta} f(x)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \big\|E\big(\frac{\cdot}{\sqrt{t}}\big)\big\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-\beta},1}} \big\|f\big\|_{L^{\frac{d}{\beta},\infty}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \big\|E\big\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-\beta},1}} \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})}.$$

This proves (5).
Suppose finally that $0 \leq \gamma = \beta$. We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} E\big(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}\big) f(y) \mathrm{d}y = \int_{|y|<\frac{|x|}{2}} + \int_{|y|>\frac{|x|}{2}} = I_1 + I_2.$$

From the inequality (6), we have

$$|I_1| \lesssim \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} \int_{|y|<\frac{|x|}{2}} |x-y|^{-d} |y|^{-\beta} \mathrm{d}y \leq$$

$$\big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} \big(\frac{|x|}{2}\big)^{-d} \int_{|y|<\frac{|x|}{2}} |y|^{-\beta} \mathrm{d}y \simeq \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} |x|^{-\beta}.$$

$$|I_2| \leq \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} \int_{|y|>\frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} E\big(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}\big) |y|^{-\beta} \mathrm{d}y \leq$$

$$\big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} \big(\frac{|x|}{2}\big)^{-\beta} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} E\big(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}\big) \mathrm{d}y = C \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} |x|^{-\beta},$$

where

$$C = 2^{\beta} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} E(y) \mathrm{d}y < +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$|e^{t\Delta} f(x)| \lesssim \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})} |x|^{-\beta}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is complete. □

We now deduce the $L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx}) - L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ estimate for the operator $e^{t\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla$. As shown in [6], the kernel function F_t of $e^{t\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla$ satisfies the following inequalities

$$F_t(x) = t^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} F\big(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\big), |F(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{d+1}}, \tag{7}$$

$$|F_t(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-\alpha} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(d+1-\alpha)}, \text{ for } 0 \le \alpha \le d+1. \tag{8}$$

By using the inequalities (7) and (8) and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2, we can easily prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *Assume that $d \ge 1$ and $0 \le \gamma \le \beta < d$. Then for all $f \in L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$ we have*

$$\big\|e^{t\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx})} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+1-\gamma)} \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})}, \text{ for } t > 0.$$

Lemma 4. *Let $0 \le \gamma < \beta \le d$. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and satisfies the following inequality*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x|^\gamma + |x|^\beta\big)|(e^{t\Delta} f)(x)| = C < +\infty, \tag{9}$$

then

$$f \in L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{dx}) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{dx})$$

and

$$\operatorname*{esssup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \big(|x|^\gamma + |x|^\beta\big)|f(x)| \le C. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Since $\frac{1}{|x|^\gamma + |x|^\beta} \in L^{\frac{d}{\beta},\infty} \cap L^{\frac{d}{\gamma},\infty}$ and $L^{\frac{d}{\beta},\infty} \cap L^{\frac{d}{\gamma},\infty} \subset L^q$ for all q satisfying $\frac{d}{\beta} < q < \frac{d}{\gamma}$, it follows that $e^{t\Delta} f \in L^\infty(0,\infty; L^q)$ for all $q \in \big(\frac{d}{\beta}, \frac{d}{\gamma}\big)$, by a compactness theorem in Banach space, there exists a sequence t_k which converges to 0 such that $e^{t_k\Delta} f$ converges weakly to f' in L^q with $f' \in L^q$. Since $e^{t\Delta}$ is a continuous semigroup on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it follows that $f = f' \in L^q$. Since $e^{t\Delta}$ is a continuous semigroup on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^d), (1 \le q < \infty)$, we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \big\|e^{t_k\Delta} f - f\big\|_{L^q} = 0, \text{ for } q \in \big(\frac{d}{\beta}, \frac{d}{\gamma}\big).$$

Therefore, there exists a subsequence t_{k_j} of the sequence t_k such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}(e^{t_{k_j}\Delta}f)(x) = f(x) \text{ for almost everywhere } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{11}$$

The inequality (10) is deduced from equalities (9) and (11). □

Remark 4. We invoke Lemma 4 for $\gamma = 0$ and Lemma 2 for $\gamma = \beta$ to deduce that the condition (1) of Takahashi on the initial data is equivalent to the condition

$$\big\|u_0\big\|_{L^\infty((1+|x|)^\beta \mathrm{dx})} \le \delta.$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\gamma, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$, then*
(a) *If $\theta < 1$ then*

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (t-\tau)^{-\gamma}\tau^{-\theta}\mathrm{d}\tau = Ct^{1-\gamma-\theta}, \text{ where } C = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-\tau)^{-\gamma}\tau^{-\theta}\mathrm{d}\tau < \infty.$$

(b) *If $\gamma < 1$ then*

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} (t-\tau)^{-\gamma}\tau^{-\theta}\mathrm{d}\tau = Ct^{1-\gamma-\theta}, \text{ where } C = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} (1-\tau)^{-\gamma}\tau^{-\theta}\mathrm{d}\tau < \infty.$$

(c) *If $\gamma < 1$ and $\theta < 1$ then*

$$\int_0^{t} (t-\tau)^{-\gamma}\tau^{-\theta}\mathrm{d}\tau = Ct^{1-\gamma-\theta}, \text{ where } C = \int_0^{1} (1-\tau)^{-\gamma}\tau^{-\theta}\mathrm{d}\tau < \infty.$$

The proof of this lemma is elementary and may be omitted. □
Let us recall the following result on solutions of a quadratic equation in Banach spaces (Theorem 22.4 in [6], p. 227).

Theorem 4. *Let E be a Banach space, and $B : E \times E \to E$ be a continuous bilinear map such that there exists $\eta > 0$ so that*

$$\|B(x,y)\| \le \eta\|x\|\|y\|,$$

for all x and y in E. Then for any fixed $y \in E$ such that $\|y\| \le \frac{1}{4\eta}$, the equation $x = y - B(x,x)$ has a unique solution $\overline{x} \in E$ satisfying $\|\overline{x}\| \le \frac{1}{2\eta}$.

§4. Proofs of Theorems 1, 2, and 3

In this section we will give the proofs of Theorems 1, 2, and 3. We now need eight more lemmas. In order to proceed, we define an auxiliary space

$K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}$. Let α, β, and T be such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < d, 0 < T \leq +\infty$, we define the auxiliary space $K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}$ which is made up by the measurable functions $u(t,x)$ such that

$$\operatorname*{esssup}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, 0<t<T} |x|^{\alpha} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha)} |u(x,t)| < +\infty.$$

The auxiliary space $K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}$ is equipped with the norm

$$\big\|u\big\|_{K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}} := \operatorname*{esssup}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, 0<t<T} |x|^{\alpha} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\alpha)} |u(x,t)|.$$

We rewrite Lemma 2 as follows

Lemma 6. *Assume that $d \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < d$. Then for all $f \in L^{\infty}(|x|^{\beta}\mathrm{dx})$ we have $e^{t\Delta}f \in K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}$ and $\big\|e^{t\Delta}f\big\|_{K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}} \leq C\big\|f\big\|_{L^{\infty}(|x|^{\beta}\mathrm{dx})}$, where C is a positive constant independent of T.*

Lemma 7. *Assume that $d \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta < d$. Then*

$$K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T} \subset K^{\beta}_{\beta,T} \cap K^{\beta}_{0,T}.$$

The proof of this lemma is elementary and may be omitted. □

Lemma 8. *Assume that $d \geq 1, T < +\infty$, and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq \tilde{\beta} < d$. Then $K^{\beta}_{\alpha,T} \subset K^{\tilde{\beta}}_{\alpha,T}$.*

The proof of this lemma is elementary and may be omitted. □

In the following lemmas a particular attention will be devoted to the study of the bilinear operator $B(u,v)(t)$ defined by

$$B(u,v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u(\tau)\otimes v(\tau)\big)\mathrm{d}\tau. \tag{12}$$

Lemma 9. *Let $\beta, \tilde{\beta}, \hat{\beta}$, and α be such that*

$$0 \leq \beta < d, \tilde{\beta} > \beta - 2, 0 \leq \tilde{\beta} \leq \beta, 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta - \tilde{\beta} - 1 < \alpha < d - \tilde{\beta},$$
$$0 \leq \hat{\beta} \leq \beta, \text{ and } \alpha + \tilde{\beta} - 1 < \hat{\beta} \leq \alpha + \tilde{\beta}.$$

Then the bilinear operator B is continuous from $K^{1}_{\alpha,T} \times K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},T}$ into $K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ and the following inequality holds

$$\big\|B(u,v)\big\|_{K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}} \leq C\big\|u\big\|_{K^{1}_{\alpha,T}}\big\|v\big\|_{K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},T}}, \tag{13}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of T.

Proof. Since $B(.,.)$ is bilinear on $K^1_{\alpha,T}\times K^\beta_{\tilde\beta,T}$, we may assume $\big\|u\big\|_{K^1_{\alpha,T}} = \big\|v\big\|_{K^\beta_{\tilde\beta,T}} = 1$. From

$$|(u\otimes v)| \le |y|^{-(\alpha+\tilde\beta)} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha+\beta-\tilde\beta)},$$

by using Lemma 3, we have

$$\big|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes v\big)\big| \lesssim |x|^{-\hat\beta}\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha+\tilde\beta-\hat\beta)}t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha+\beta-\tilde\beta)}}$$

then applying Lemma 5 (c), we get

$$|B(u,v)| \lesssim |x|^{-\hat\beta}\int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha+\tilde\beta-\hat\beta)}t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha+\beta-\tilde\beta)}}\mathrm{d}s \simeq |x|^{-\hat\beta}t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\hat\beta)}.$$

This proves Lemma 9.
Note that since $\alpha > \beta-\tilde\beta-1$ and $\hat\beta > \alpha+\tilde\beta-1$, it follows that the conditions $\frac{1-\alpha+\beta-\tilde\beta}{2} < 1$ and $\frac{1+\alpha+\tilde\beta-\hat\beta}{2} < 1$ are valid. So we can apply Lemma 5 (c). □

Lemma 10. *Assume that NSE has a mild solution $u \in K^1_{\tilde\alpha,T}$ for some $\tilde\alpha \in (0,1)$ with initial data $u_0 \in L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{d}x)$ then $u \in K^1_{\alpha,T}$ for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$.*

Proof. From $u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + B(u,u)$, applying Lemmas 6 and 9 with $\beta = 1$ and $\alpha = \tilde\beta = \tilde\alpha$, we get $u \in K^1_{\hat\beta,T}$ for all $\hat\beta \in \big(\tilde\alpha-(1-\tilde\alpha), 2\tilde\alpha\big)\cap[0,1]$. Applying again Lemmas 6 and 9 with $\beta = 1, \alpha = \tilde\alpha$, and $\tilde\beta \in \big(\tilde\alpha-(1-\tilde\alpha), 2\tilde\alpha\big)\cap[0,1]$ to get $u \in K^1_{\hat\beta,T}$ for all $\hat\beta \in \big(\tilde\alpha-2(1-\tilde\alpha), 3\tilde\alpha\big)\cap[0,1]$. By induction, we get $u \in K^1_{\hat\beta,T}$ for all $\hat\beta \in \big(\tilde\alpha-n(1-\tilde\alpha), (n+1)\tilde\alpha\big)\cap[0,1]$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\tilde\alpha \in (0,1)$, it follows that there exists sufficiently large n satisfying

$$\big(\tilde\alpha-n(1-\tilde\alpha), (n+1)\tilde\alpha\big) \supset [0,1].$$

This proves Lemma 10. □

Lemma 11. *Let β be a fixed number in the interval $[0,d)$. Assume that NSE has a mild solution $u \in \bigcap\limits_{\alpha\in[0,1]} K^1_{\alpha,T}\cap K^\beta_{\tilde\beta,T}$ for some $\tilde\beta \in [0,\beta]\cap(\beta-2,\beta]$ with initial data $u_0 \in L^\infty(|x|^\beta\mathrm{d}x)$, then $u \in K^\beta_{\hat\beta,T}$ for all $\hat\beta \in [0,\beta]\cap(\tilde\beta-1,\beta]$.*

Proof. We first prove that $u \in K^\beta_{\hat\beta,T}$ for all $\hat\beta \in [0,\beta]\cap(\tilde\beta-1,\tilde\beta+1)$. Let α_1 and α_2 be such that

$$\max\{\beta-\tilde\beta-1, \hat\beta-\tilde\beta, 0\} < \alpha_1 < 1$$

and

$$\max\{\hat{\beta}-\tilde{\beta},0\}<\alpha_2<\min\{1,\hat{\beta}-\tilde{\beta}+1\}.$$

We split the integral given in (12) into two parts coming from the subintervals $(0,\frac{t}{2})$ and $(\frac{t}{2},t)$

$$B(u,u)(t)=\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\mathrm{d}\tau+\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\mathrm{d}\tau=I_1+I_2.$$

Since $u\in\underset{\alpha\in[0,1]}{\cap}K^1_{\alpha,T}$, it follows that

$$|u(x,t)|\lesssim|x|^{-\alpha_1}t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_1)},\tag{14}$$

$$|u(x,t)|\lesssim|x|^{-\alpha_2}t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_2)},\tag{15}$$

and since $u\in K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},T}$, it follows that

$$|u(x,t)|\lesssim|x|^{-\tilde{\beta}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}.\tag{16}$$

From the inequalities (14) and (16), and Lemma 3, we get

$$\big|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\big|\lesssim|x|^{-\hat{\beta}}\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha_1+\tilde{\beta}-\hat{\beta})}t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_1+\beta-\tilde{\beta})}}.$$

Then applying Lemma 5 (a), we have

$$|I_1|\lesssim|x|^{-\hat{\beta}}\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}}\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha_1+\tilde{\beta}-\hat{\beta})}t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_1+\beta-\tilde{\beta})}}\mathrm{d}s\simeq|x|^{-\hat{\beta}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\hat{\beta})}.\tag{17}$$

From the inequalities (15) and (16), and Lemma 3, we get

$$\big|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\big|\lesssim|x|^{-\hat{\beta}}\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha_2+\tilde{\beta}-\hat{\beta})}t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_2+\beta-\tilde{\beta})}}.$$

Then applying Lemma 5 (b), we have

$$|I_2|\lesssim|x|^{-\hat{\beta}}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha_2+\tilde{\beta}-\hat{\beta})}t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_2+\beta-\tilde{\beta})}}\mathrm{d}s\simeq|x|^{-\hat{\beta}}t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\hat{\beta})}.\tag{18}$$

From the inequalities (17) and (18), we get $B(u,u)\in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$, and from $u=e^{t\Delta}u_0+B(u,u)$ and Lemma 6, we have $u\in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$. This proves the result.

We now prove $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\beta]$. Indeed, if $\tilde{\beta} > \beta - 1$ then $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\tilde{\beta}+1) = [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\beta]$ and so the lemma is proved. In the case $\tilde{\beta} \leq \beta - 1$, in exactly the same way, since $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\tilde{\beta}+1)$, it follows that $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\tilde{\beta}+2) = [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\beta]$. Therefore the proof of Lemma 11 is complete. □

Lemma 12. *Assume that NSE has a mild solution* $u \in \bigcap\limits_{\alpha\in[0,1]} K^{1}_{\alpha,T} \cap \bigcap\limits_{\hat{\beta}\in[\tilde{\beta},\beta]} K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ *for some* $\tilde{\beta} \in [0,\beta]$ *with initial data* $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(|x|^{\beta}\mathrm{d}x)$. *Then* $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ *for all* $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta]$.

Proof. We first prove that $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\tilde{\beta}]$. We split the integral given in (12) into two parts coming from the subintervals $(0,\frac{t}{2})$ and $(\frac{t}{2},t)$

$$B(u,u)(t) = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\mathrm{d}\tau + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\mathrm{d}\tau = I_1 + I_2.$$

Let α_1 be such that $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$. Since $u \in K^{1}_{\alpha_1,T} \cap K^{\beta}_{\beta,T}$, it follows that

$$|u(x,t)| \lesssim |x|^{-\alpha_1} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_1)}, \tag{19}$$

$$|u(x,t)| \lesssim |x|^{-\beta}. \tag{20}$$

From the inequalities (19) and (20), and Lemma 3, we get

$$\big|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\big| \lesssim |x|^{-\hat{\beta}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha_1+\beta-\hat{\beta})} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_1)}}.$$

Then applying Lemma 5 (a), we have

$$|I_1| \lesssim |x|^{-\hat{\beta}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha_1+\beta-\hat{\beta})} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha_1)}} \mathrm{d}s \simeq |x|^{-\hat{\beta}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\hat{\beta})}. \tag{21}$$

Since $u \in K^{1}_{0,T} \cap K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},T}$, it follows that

$$|u(x,t)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } |u(x,t)| \lesssim |x|^{-\tilde{\beta}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}. \tag{22}$$

From the inequality (22), and Lemma 3, we get

$$\big|e^{(t-\tau)\Delta}\mathbb{P}\nabla.\big(u\otimes u\big)\big| \lesssim |x|^{-\hat{\beta}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\tilde{\beta}-\hat{\beta})} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\beta-\tilde{\beta})}}.$$

Then applying Lemma 5 (b), we obtain

$$|I_2| \lesssim |x|^{-\hat{\beta}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\tilde{\beta}-\hat{\beta})} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\beta-\tilde{\beta})}} \mathrm{d}s \simeq |x|^{-\hat{\beta}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\hat{\beta})}. \tag{23}$$

From the inequalities (21) and (23), we get $B(u,u) \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$. From $u = e^{t\Delta}u_0 + B(u,u)$ and Lemma 6, we deduce $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$. This proves the result. Therefore, we get $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\beta]$.
We now prove that $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta]$. Indeed, in exactly the same way, since $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-1,\beta]$, it follows that $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-2,\beta]$. By induction, we get $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta] \cap (\tilde{\beta}-n,\beta]$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, there exists a sufficiently large number n satisfying $\tilde{\beta}-n<0$ and therefore $u \in K^{\beta}_{\hat{\beta},T}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta]$. The proof of Lemma 12 is complete. □

Lemma 13. *Let $0 \le \beta < d$ be fixed, then for all α and $\tilde{\beta}$ satisfying*

$$\tilde{\beta} \ge 0, 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta - 2 < \tilde{\beta} \le \beta, \text{ and } \beta - \tilde{\beta} - 1 < \alpha < d - \tilde{\beta},$$

there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d}$ such that for all $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(|x|\mathrm{d}x) \cap L^{\infty}(|x|^{\beta}\mathrm{d}x)$ with $\mathrm{div}(u_0)=0$ satisfying

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x|^{\alpha} t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)} + |x|^{\tilde{\beta}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}\big)|e^{t\Delta}u_0| \le \delta_{\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d}, \tag{24}$$

NSE has a global mild solution u on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x| + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + |x|^{\beta} + t^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\big)|u(x,t)| < +\infty. \tag{25}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 9 we deduce that the bilinear operator B is bounded from $K^1_{\alpha,\infty} \times K^1_{\alpha,\infty}$ into $K^1_{\alpha,\infty}$ and from $K^1_{\alpha,\infty} \times K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}$ into $K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}$. Therefore, the bilinear operator B is bounded from

$$(K^1_{\alpha,\infty} \cap K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}) \times (K^1_{\alpha,\infty} \cap K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}) \text{ into } (K^1_{\alpha,\infty} \cap K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}).$$

where the space $K^1_{\alpha,\infty} \cap K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}$ is equipped with the norm

$$\big\|u\big\|_{K^1_{\alpha,\infty}\cap K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}} := \max\{\big\|u\big\|_{K^1_{\alpha,\infty}}, \big\|u\big\|_{K^{\beta}_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}}\}.$$

Applying Theorem 4 to the bilinear operator B, we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d}$ such that for all $u_0 \in L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{d}x) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x)$ with $\mathrm{div}(u_0) = 0$ satisfying

$$\big\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\big\|_{K^1_{\alpha,\infty}\cap K^\beta_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}} \leq \delta_{\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d},$$

then NSE has a unique mild solution u satisfying

$$u \in K^1_{\alpha,\infty} \cap K^\beta_{\tilde{\beta},\infty}.$$

Applying Lemmas 10, 11, and 12, we get $u \in K^\beta_{\hat{\beta},\infty}$ for all $\hat{\beta} \in [0,\beta]$ and $u \in K^1_{\alpha,\infty}$ for all $\alpha \in [0,1]$. The proof of Lemma 13 is now complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1

Since $|x| \leq |x|^\gamma + |x|^\beta$, it follows that

$$\big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|\mathrm{d}x) \leq \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{d}x) + \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x).$$

From Lemma 2 we have

$$|x|^\alpha t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)}|e^{t\Delta}u_0| \lesssim \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|\mathrm{d}x) \leq \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{d}x) + \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x),$$

$|x|^{\tilde{\gamma}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\tilde{\gamma})}|e^{t\Delta}u_0| \lesssim \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{d}x)$, and $|x|^{\tilde{\beta}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}|e^{t\Delta}u_0| \lesssim \big\|f\big\|_{L^\infty}(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x)$.
This proves Theorem 1. □

Proof of Theorem 2

Since $L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{d}x) \subset L^\infty(|x|^\gamma \mathrm{d}x) \cap L^\infty(|x|^\beta \mathrm{d}x)$, it follows that $u_0 \in L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{d}x)$. Applying Lemma 13 then there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d}$ such that if

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x|^\alpha t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)} + |x|^{\tilde{\beta}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}\big)|e^{t\Delta}u_0| \leq \delta_{\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d},$$

NSE has a global mild solution u on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x| + t^{\frac{1}{2}} + |x|^\beta + t^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\big)|u(x,t)| < +\infty.$$

Applying Lemma 13 for $\beta = \gamma$ then there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\alpha,\gamma,\tilde{\gamma},d}$ such that if

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d, t>0} \big(|x|^\alpha t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)} + |x|^{\tilde{\gamma}} t^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\tilde{\gamma})}\big)|e^{t\Delta}u_0| \leq \delta_{\alpha,\gamma,\tilde{\gamma},d},$$

NSE has a global mild solution u on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d,t>0}\big(|x|+t^{\frac{1}{2}}+|x|^{\gamma}+t^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\big)|u(x,t)|<+\infty.$$

Therefore, if u_0 satisfies the following inequality

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d,t>0}\big(|x|^{\tilde{\gamma}}t^{\frac{1}{2}(\gamma-\tilde{\gamma})}+|x|^{\alpha}t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)}+|x|^{\tilde{\beta}}t^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta-\tilde{\beta})}\big)|e^{t\Delta}u_0|\leq\min\{\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\tilde{\beta},d},\delta_{\alpha,\gamma,\tilde{\gamma},d}\}$$

NSE has a global mild solution u on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d$ such that (3).
The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 3

Applying Lemma 9 we deduce that the bilinear operator B is bounded from $K^1_{\alpha,\infty}\times K^1_{\alpha,\infty}$ into $K^1_{\alpha,\infty}$. Applying Theorem 4 to the bilinear operator B, we deduce that there exists a positive constant $\delta_{\alpha,d}$ such that for all $u_0\in L^\infty(|x|\mathrm{d}x)$ with $\mathrm{div}(u_0)=0$ satisfying

$$\big\|e^{t\Delta}u_0\big\|_{K^1_{\alpha,\infty}}\leq\delta_{\alpha,d},$$

then NSE has a unique mild solution u satisfying $u\in K^1_{\alpha,\infty}$. Applying Lemma 10 we have $u\in\bigcap\limits_{\alpha\in[0,1]}K^1_{\alpha,\infty}$.
We prove that $u\in K^\beta_{\beta,T}$ for all $T\in(0,\infty)$. Indeed, let γ be such that $\gamma\in[1,\beta]\cap(\alpha,\alpha+2)$. Applying Lemma 8 we have $u\in K^\gamma_{\alpha,T}$, then using Lemmas 11 we get $u\in K^\gamma_{\gamma,T}$, in exactly the same way, using again Lemmas 11, since $u\in K^\gamma_{\gamma,T}$ for $\gamma\in[1,\beta]\cap(\alpha,\alpha+2)$, it follows that $u\in K^\gamma_{\gamma,T}$ for $\gamma\in[1,\beta]\cap(\alpha,\alpha+4)$. By induction, we get $u\in K^\gamma_{\gamma,T}$ for $\gamma\in[1,\beta]\cap(\alpha,\alpha+2n)$. However, there exists a sufficiently large number n satisfying $\alpha+2n>\beta$ and therefore $u\in K^\beta_{\beta,T}$. The proof of Theorem 3 is complete. □

Acknowledgments. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.02-2014.50.

References

[1] Maria E. Schonbek, *Large time behaviour of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in H^m spaces*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **20** (1995), no. 1-2, 103-117

[2] Zhi-Min Chen, *A sharp decay result on strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991), no. 4-5, 801-820

[3] T. Kato, *Strong L^p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Zeit., **187** (1984), 471-480.

[4] Cheng He and Ling Hsiao, *The decay rates of strong solutions for Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **268** (2002), no. 2, 417-425

[5] O. Sawada, *On analyticity rate estimates of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in Bessel-potential spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **312** (2005), no. 1, 1-13.

[6] P. G. Lemarie-Rieusset, *Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 431, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.

[7] R. Farwig and H. Sohr, *Global estimates in weighted spaces of weak solutions of the Navier- Stokes equations in exterior domains*, Arch. Math. **67** (1996), 319-330.

[8] R. Farwig and H. Sohr, *Weighted energy inequalities for the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains*, Appl. Analysis **58**(1-2) (1995), 157-173.

[9] T. Miyakawa, *Tetsuro Notes on space-time decay properties of nonstationary incompressible Navier-Stokes flows in $\mathbb{R}^n$*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **45** (2002), no. 2, 271-289.

[10] R. Farwig and H. Sohr, *Weighted energy inequalities for the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains*, Appl. Analysis **58**(1-2) (1995), 157-173.

[11] C. He, *Weighted estimates for nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Diff . Eqns **148**(1998), 422-444.